arXiv:1210.6575v1 [math-ph] 24 Oct 2012

Temporal Lorentzian Spectral Triples

Nicolas Franco

University of Namur, Department of Mathematics,
Rempart de la Vierge 8, B-5000 Namur, Belgium

Copernicus Center for Interdisciplinary Studies,
ul. Sławkowska 17, PL-31-016 Kraków, Poland

Abstract

We present the notion of temporal Lorentzian spectral triple which is an extension of the notion of pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple with a way to ensure that the signature of the metric is Lorentzian. A temporal Lorentzian spectral triple corresponds to a specific 3+1 decomposition of a possibly noncommutative Lorentzian space. This structure introduces a notion of global time in noncommutative geometry. As an example, we construct a noncommutative Minkowsky spacetime with the use of a degenerate Moyal product.

Keywords: Noncommutative geometry, Lorentzian geometry, Spectral triples
2010 MSC: 58B34, 53C50, 46C20, 46L52

---

1. Introduction

The existence of noncommutative geometry comes from the duality existing between algebra and geometry. Thanks to the Gel'fand–Naimark theorem, we know that unital commutative C^*-algebras are equivalent in the category-theoretic sense to algebras of continuous functions on compact Hausdorff spaces. A similar result is valid for noncompact Hausdorff spaces being equivalent to nonunital commutative algebras. The extension of this duality to noncommutative C^*-algebras opens the way to the definition of noncommutative spaces.

Behind those topological considerations, some metric information can be added by using Alain Connes' notion of spectral triples [1, 2, 3]. A spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is composed of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a unital pre-C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with a representation as bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and a self-adjoint operator D densely defined on $\mathcal{H}$ with compact resolvent and such that all commutators $[D, a]$ are bounded for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{H}$ acts as a base, $\mathcal{A}$ encodes the topological information of the corresponding geometrical space and D encodes the information on the metric. Additional axioms can be added as an even condition with the existence of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading γ or a reality condition

---

Email address: `nicolas.franco@math.fundp.ac.be` (Nicolas Franco)

with the existence of an antilinear isometry $J : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, both respecting some suitable commutation conditions. Finally, a spectral triple is said n^+-summable if there exists a positive integer n such that the resolvent of D has ordered singular values $\mu_k = O(k^{-n})$. In this case, $D^{-n} \in \mathcal{L}^{1+}$ (the space of compact operators with finite partial sums $\sup_{N>3} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{\mu_k(T)}{\ln N} < \infty$) and p is the metric dimension of the spectral triple.

Correspondence with Riemannian geometry is given in the commutative case by the so called reconstruction theorem [4, 5]. For every n-dimensional compact Riemannian spin-manifold, a spectral triple can be constructed by taking the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ of square integrable spinor sections over $\mathcal{M}$, the unital pre-C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ and the Dirac operator $D = -i(\hat{c} \circ \nabla^S)$, where c represents the Clifford action. This spectral triple has metric dimension n. If n is even, then the spectral triple is even by taking the chirality element $\gamma = \gamma^1 \dots \gamma^n$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, with the Dirac matrix $\gamma^\mu = c(dx^\mu)$. An antilinear isometry J giving a real structure is known as a charge conjugation operator. The reconstruction theorem states that every commutative unital spectral triple corresponds to an existing compact Riemannian spin-manifold.

Information on the differential structure can be extracted in different ways. A noncommutative differential algebra can be constructed with elements of the form $a_0[D, a_1] \cdots [D, a_p]$, with $a_0 \dots a_p \in \mathcal{A}$. For every finitely summable spectral triple, we have a noncommutative integral $⨍ \, a \, |D|^{-n} = \operatorname{tr}_\omega(a \, |D|^{-n})$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. A notion of distance between two states $d(\xi, \eta)$ is defined as $\sup \left\{ |\xi(a) - \eta(a)| : a \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}, \ \|[D, a]\| \leq 1 \right\}$. Those concepts correspond to the usual geometrical ones when the spectral triple is constructed from a Riemannian spin-manifold.

One main goal of Connes' noncommutative geometry is to provide a new mathematical background which would be suitable to describe both gravitation (represented by pseudo-Riemannian geometry) and the standard model (represented by a nonabelian gauge theory). Such background is given by a product of two spectral triples, in a similar way as a Kaluza–Klein theory. The first one is commutative and continuous 4-dimensional, representing Euclidean gravitation (Euclidean in the sense of positive signature), and the second one is noncommutative and discrete, representing a (still classical) standard model [2, 6].

At this time, the theory of spectral triples is only completely defined for compact spaces (with unital algebras) and with positive signature for the metric. However, this does not correspond to physical reality. Noncommutative geometry is only at this stage a nice mathematical tool with no possible realistic physical prediction, at least for the gravitation part. Some extensions to noncompact spaces have been done [7, 8] and result mainly in (sometimes huge) technical additional difficulties. However the extension to Lorentzian spaces of the notion of spectral triple is far for being done, despite first results or partial propositions [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16] whose some of them have never been pursued.

In this paper we present a new way to construct Lorentzian spectral triples, starting from the interesting proposition by A. Strohmaier [14] on building pseudo-Riemannian spectral triples based on Krein spaces. We show that it is possible to construct a spectral triple representing a (possibly noncommutative) globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold with a specific "3+1" decomposition and with the highlight of a specific element of the algebra representing a global time. Such construction called temporal Lorentzian spectral triple contains a way, based on the Dirac operator and on the chosen temporal element, to ensure that the signature of the metric corresponds to a Lorentzian space. The algebra is constructed as a filtration in order to contain causal functions which are essential to recover information on causality or on Lorentzian distance.

In Section 2 we recall basic notions about Krein spaces and pseudo-Riemannian spectral triples. In Section 3 we present the construction of a temporal Lorentzian spectral triple from a Lorentzian manifold in the commutative case and we suggest a set of axioms for the extension to the noncommutative case. In Section 4 we present an example of noncommutative temporal Lorentzian spectral triple as the degenerate Moyal Minkowski spacetime.

2. Krein spaces and Pseudo-Riemannian Spectral Triples

We start with a review of the tools introduced by A. Strohmaier in order to adapt the construction of spectral triples to pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. We will just be interested here in the results and we refer to [14] for the different proofs. Elements about Dirac operators in pseudo-Riemannian geometry can also be found in [17, 18].

A pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple is, in the same way as a Riemannian spectral triple, a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ which corresponds in the commutative case to the algebra $\mathcal{A} = C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of smooth functions vanishing at infinity over a pseudo-Riemannian spin manifold $\mathcal{M}$ of signature (p, q) (with $q \geq 1$), to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of square integrable sections of the spinor bundle over $\mathcal{M}$ and on which there exists a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ as multiplicative bounded operators, and to the Dirac operator $D = -i(\hat{c} \circ \nabla^S)$ acting on the space $\mathcal{H}$.

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is endowed with the positive definite Hermitian structure

$$(\psi, \phi) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \psi^* \phi \, d\mu_g$$

where $d\mu_g = \sqrt{|\det g|}\; d^n x$ is the pseudo-Riemannian density on $\mathcal{M}$.

However, this structure does not admit any Dirac self-adjoint operator. Instead, a Dirac operator is an essentially Krein-self-adjoint operator if we transform $\mathcal{H}$ into a Krein space [19].

Definition 1. An indefinite inner product on a vector space V is a map $V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies:

$$(v, \lambda w_1 + \mu w_2) = \lambda (v, w_1) + \mu (v, w_2), \qquad \overline{(v, w)} = (w, v).$$

An indefinite inner product is nondegenerate if

$$(v, w) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V \quad \Rightarrow \quad w = 0.$$

Let us suppose that V can be written as the direct sum of two orthogonal spaces $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$ such that the inner product is positive definite on V^+ and negative definite on V^-. Then the two spaces V^+ and V^- are two pre-Hilbert spaces by the induced inner product (with a multiplication by -1 on the inner product for the second one).

Definition 2. If the two subspaces V^+ and V^- are complete in the norm induced on them and if the indefinite inner product on V is nondegenerate, then the space $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$ is called a Krein space. The indefinite inner product is called a Krein inner product.

Definition 3. For every decomposition $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$ the operator $\mathcal{J} = \mathrm{id}_{V^+} \oplus -\mathrm{id}_{V^-}$ respecting the property $\mathcal{J}^2 = 1$ is called a fundamental symmetry. Such operator defines a positive definite inner product (called the $\mathcal{J}$-product) on V by $\langle \cdot\, , \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{J}} = (\,\cdot\, , \mathcal{J}\, \cdot\,)$.

Each fundamental symmetry of a Krein space V defines a Hilbert space structure, and two norms associated with two different fundamental symmetries are equivalent. So it is natural to defined the space of bounded operators as the space of bounded operators on the Hilbert space defined for any fundamental symmetry.

Definition 4. If A is a densely defined linear operator on V, the Krein-adjoint A^+ of A is the adjoint operator defined for the Krein inner product $(\,\cdot\, , \cdot\,)$. An operator A is called Krein-self-adjoint if $A = A^+$.

Of course, for any fundamental symmetry $\mathcal{J}$ we can define an adjoint A^* for the $\mathcal{J}$-product $\langle \cdot\, , \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{J}}$. In this case, the Krein-adjoint is related to it by $A^+ = \mathcal{J} A^* \mathcal{J}$, and an operator A is Krein-self-adjoint if and only if $\mathcal{J}A$ or $A\,\mathcal{J}$ are self-adjoint for the $\mathcal{J}$-product.

Now the question is how we could define a Krein space structure from a spin manifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric. This is done by using spacelike reflections.

Definition 5. A spacelike reflection r is an automorphism of the vector bundle $T\mathcal{M}$ such that:

- $g(r\,\cdot\, , r\,\cdot\,) = g(\,\cdot\, , \cdot\,)$
- $r^2 = \mathrm{id}$
- $g^r(\,\cdot\, , \cdot\,) = (\,\cdot\, , r\,\cdot\,)$ is a positive definite metric on $T\mathcal{M}$

It is clear that, for every pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature (p, q), the tangent bundle can be split into an orthogonal direct sum $T\mathcal{M} = T\mathcal{M}^p_+ \oplus T\mathcal{M}^q_-$ where the metric is positive definite on the p-dimensional bundle $T\mathcal{M}^p_+$ and negative on the q-dimensional bundle $T\mathcal{M}^q_-$, and so a spacelike reflection is automatically associated by defining $r(v_{+|x} \oplus v_{-|x}) = v_{+|x} \oplus -v_{-|x}$. This splitting is transposed to the cotangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}^* = T\mathcal{M}^{*p}_+ \oplus T\mathcal{M}^{*q}_-$ by isomorphism.

Proposition 6. *For each spacelike reflection r, there is an associated fundamental symmetry $\mathcal{J}_r$ defined from the Clifford action c on a local oriented orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_q\}$ of $T\mathcal{M}_-^{*q}$ by:*

$$\mathcal{J}_r = i^{\frac{q(q+1)}{2}} c(e_1)c(e_2)\dots c(e_q) = i^{\frac{q(q+1)}{2}} \gamma^1\gamma^2\dots\gamma^q.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of the local basis. With such fundamental symmetry, the space $\mathcal{H}$ of square integrable sections of the spinor bundle becomes a Krein space endowed with the indefinite inner product

$$(\psi, \phi) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \psi^* \mathcal{J} \phi \, d\mu_g.$$

Actually, this operation is similar to a Wick rotation, but performed at an algebraic level.

In the special case of a 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold, with signature $(-,+,+,+)$ and with local coordinates (x^0, x^1, x^2, x_3^x), a fundamental symmetry is just given by $J = i\gamma^0 = ic(dx^0)$.[1]

We have then the following result concerning the Dirac operator:

Proposition 7. *If there exists a spacelike reflection such that the Riemannian metric g^r associated is complete, then the Dirac operator D is essentially Krein-self-adjoint. In particular, if $\mathcal{M}$ is compact, then D is always essentially Krein-self-adjoint.*

From all these properties, we can introduce the definition of a pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple:

Definition 8. A pseudo-Riemannian Spectral Triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is the data of:

- A Krein space $\mathcal{H}$
- A pre-C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with a representation as bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and such that $a^* = a^+$
- A Krein-self-adjoint operator D densely defined on $\mathcal{H}$ such that all commutators $[D, a]$ are bounded for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$

In addition, it is natural to assume the existence of a fundamental symmetry $\mathcal{J}$ which commutes with all elements in $\mathcal{A}$. In this case, $\mathcal{A}$ becomes a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and the involution a^* corresponds to the adjoint for the Hilbert space defined by $\mathcal{J}$.

We can define an even condition and a real condition for pseudo-Riemannian spectral triples in a similar way as usual spectral triples. The condition on the boundedness of every commutator $[D,a]$ allows us to construct a similar noncommutative differential algebra generated by elements of the form $a_0[D,a_1]\cdots[D,a_p]$.

---

[1] With this choice of signature, the Dirac matrix γ^0 is such that $(\gamma^0)^2 = -1$ and $(\gamma^0)^* = -\gamma^0$, so $J = i\gamma^0$ respects the conditions of a fundamental symmetry. The other Dirac matrix respect $(\gamma^i)^2 = 1$ and $(\gamma^i)^* = \gamma^i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The n^+-summable condition is however a bit different. Indeed, in the commutative Riemannian case, the Dirac operator D is elliptic. This is not the case for Lorentzian geometry since its principal symbol satisfies the relation $\sigma^D(\xi)^2 = c^2(\xi) = g(\xi,\xi)$ with g the Lorentzian metric, and so it is not invertible any more. To obtain a differential elliptic operator of order 1, we define

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{J}} = \left([D]^2_{\mathcal{J}} + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with $[D]^2_{\mathcal{J}} = \frac{DD^*+D^*D}{2}$ being the formally square of D under the fundamental symmetry $\mathcal{J}$. This operator is elliptic of order 1 since $\sigma^{\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}}(\xi)^2 = g^r(\xi,\xi)$, and is self-adjoint for the $\mathcal{J}$-product, so we can consider the rate of decay of its inverse modulo smoothing operation.

Definition 9. A pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple is n^+-summable if there exists a positive integer n such that $a\,\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}^{-n} \in \mathcal{L}^{1+}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

One can check that this definition is independent of the choice of the fundamental symmetry $\mathcal{J}$.

3. Temporal Lorentzian Spectral Triples

We present here the construction of some axioms for spectral triples corresponding to noncompact Lorentzian manifolds. The definition of temporal Lorentzian spectral triples is presented as a working basis, since the set of axioms is not fixed and could evolve to include more properties.

We start by some considerations about the construction of the algebra. For a noncompact manifold, the dual algebra for Gel'fand theory is the nonunital algebra $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ of continuous functions vanishing at infinity. The noncompactness of the manifold is mandatory in order to have a causal structure. Indeed, for a Lorentzian manifold, compactness implies the existence of points which are in the future of themselves [20, 21], which is not physically realistic.

However, the algebra $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ is not sufficient for a Lorentzian spectral triple since it does not contain any continuously growing function. One key element about causality in noncommutative geometry is the set of causal functions, which are real-valued functions that do not decrease along every causal future-directed curve. Those causal functions are used in order to give information about partial-order and causality [22]. The different attempts to construct a Lorentzian distance function use the set of causal functions [23, 24, 25, 26] with an additional constraint on the minimum growth along causal future-directed curve like $g(\nabla f, \nabla f) \leq -1$. Furthermore, time functions are particular cases of causal functions, and of course does not belong to the algebra $C_0(\mathcal{M})$. So we want to construct an algebra which could contain unbounded causal functions in order to keep information about time, Lorentzian distance and causality.

However, in this case, the supremum norm (and a fortiori L^p norms) cannot be used to define a Banach algebra.

We begin by a review of the common algebras of functions on a noncompact manifold $\mathcal{M}$. We will consider involutive algebras, since the real-valued functions can always be recovered by taking the Hermitian elements. We can extract different ones, given by inclusion order:

- The algebra $C_c(\mathcal{M})$ of continuous functions with compact support, which is a C^*-algebra with the supremum norm
- The algebra $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ of continuous functions vanishing at infinity, which is a C^*-algebra with the supremum norm
- The algebra $C_b(\mathcal{M})$ of continuous bounded functions, which is a unital C^*-algebra with the supremum norm
- The algebra $C(\mathcal{M})$ of continuous functions, which is unital and contains unbounded elements

Each of these algebras can be restricted to its dense subalgebra of smooth functions. In the smooth case, we can also mention the algebra of Schwartz functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M})$ (with all derivatives rapidly decreasing). In the compact case, all those algebras are equivalent, and the Gel'fand–Naimark theorem can be applied. In the noncompact case, the Gel'fand–Naimark theorem can only be applied on the C^*-algebra $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ if we want to recover the noncompact space $\mathcal{M}$.

We can notice that the C^*-algebra $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ is an ideal of the unital C^*-algebra $C_b(\mathcal{M})$, but is not an ideal of the unital algebra $C(\mathcal{M})$ (actually, $C_c(\mathcal{M})$ is an ideal of $C(\mathcal{M})$). The algebras $C_0(\mathcal{M})$ and $C_b(\mathcal{M})$ are in relationship with each other, with the notion of multiplier algebra [27].

Definition 10. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^*-algebra. An ideal $I \subset \mathcal{A}$ is said to be essential if I has non-zero intersection with every non-zero ideal of $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 11. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-unital C^*-algebra. The multiplier algebra $M(\mathcal{A})$ is the C*-algebra, unique up to isomorphism, which is the largest unital C^*-algebra that contains $\mathcal{A}$ as a two-sided essential ideal, largest in the sense that any other such algebra can be embedded in it.

The norm on the multiplier algebra $M(\mathcal{A})$ is usually the operator norm, since $M(\mathcal{A})$ can be seen as an algebra of bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{A}$ (hence its name). Since the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is itself (by use of a representation) a normed algebra of bounded multiplicative operators on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, both norms are equivalent, and the multiplier algebra $M(\mathcal{A})$ has a representation as bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{H}$, with $\mathcal{A}$ as a two-sided essential ideal. The multiplier algebra is usually defined for complete algebras, but we can easily extend the concept to pre-C^*-algebra (in this case the multiplier is only a unital pre-C^*-algebra, whose closure corresponds to the multiplier of the closure of the algebra).

Definition 12. The Stone–Čech compactification βX of a topological space X is the largest compact Hausdorff space generated by X, with a continuous map $i : X \to \beta X$, largest in the sense that, for any compact Hausdorff space Y and any continuous map $f : X \to Y$, there exists a unique continuous map $g : \beta X \to Y$ such that $f = g \circ i$.

Proposition 13. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then $M(C_0(\mathcal{M})) = C_b(\mathcal{M})$. Moreover, by the Gel'fand–Naimark theorem, $C_b(\mathcal{M})$ is the unital C^*-algebra of continuous functions on some compact Hausdorff space, which corresponds to the Stone–Čech compactification of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. $C_b(\mathcal{M}) \cong C(\beta\mathcal{M})$.*

A proof of this result can be found in [28]. If we consider the pre-C^*-algebra $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, then $M(C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})) = C_b^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ since the set of smooth functions vanishing at infinity forms an ideal for the set of bounded smooth functions but not for the continuous ones.

The multiplier algebra $M(C_0(\mathcal{M}))$ is a first possibility to extend the algebra to some causal functions, but only to functions with a decreasing rate of growth such that they remain bounded, which is not sufficient. We want to create an algebra containing unbounded functions but which can admit a representation as bounded operators on some Hilbert space.

In order to answer this problem, one could wonder which algebraic structure, with a finite norm, can be given to the set $C(\mathcal{M})$. Since continuous functions are locally integrable, we can use a structure defined for the space of locally integrable functions $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M})$. Such structure is provided by the theory of partial inner product spaces. We give here few elements of this theory. A complete introduction, including the topological aspects, can be found in [29].

Definition 14. A linear compatibility relation on a vector space V is a symmetric binary relation $f\#g$ which preserves linearity. For $S \subset V$, we can define the vector subspace $S^\# = \{g \in V : g\#f, \forall f \in S\} \subset V$ which respects the inclusion property $S \subset \left(S^\#\right)^\#$. Vector subspaces such that $S = \left(S^\#\right)^\#$ are called assaying subspaces.

The family of assaying subspaces forms a lattice with the inclusion order, and the meet and join operations given by $S_1 \wedge S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2$ and $S_1 \vee S_2 = (S_1 + S_2)^{\#\#}$. Usually, it is enough to consider only an indexed sublattice $\mathcal{I} = \{S_r : r \in \mathcal{I}\}$ which covers V, with an involution defined on the index I by $(S_r)^\# = S_{\overline{r}}$.

Definition 15. A partial inner product on $(V, \#)$ is a (possibly indefinite) Hermitian form $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ defined exactly on compatible pairs of vectors $f\#g$. A partial inner product space (PIP-space) is a vector space V equipped with a linear compatibility relation and a partial inner product. An indexed PIP-space is a PIP-space with a fixed generating involutive indexed sublattice of assaying subspaces.

The space $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a PIP-space with the compatibility relation given by

$$f\#g \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \int_{\mathcal{M}} |fg| \, d\mu_g \; < \; \infty$$

and the partial inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f^* g \, d\mu_g .$$

We can define an involutive indexed sublattice of assaying subspaces by using weight functions. If, for $r, r^{-1} \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{M})$ with r a.e. positive Hermitian, we define the space $L^2(r)$ of measurable functions f such that fr^{-1} is square integrable, i.e.

$$L^2(r) = \left\{ f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{M}) : \int_{\mathcal{M}} |f|^2 \, r^{-2} \, d\mu_g \; < \; \infty \right\},$$

then the family $\mathcal{I} = \left\{L^2(r)\right\}_r$ respects $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}} = \bigcup_r L^2(r)$ and is a generating sublattice of assaying subspaces, with an involution defined by $\overline{r} = r^{-1}$ since $L^2(r)^{\#} = L^2(r^{-1})$.

Actually, we have a realization of this indexed PIP-space as a lattice of Hilbert spaces $\{\mathcal{H}_r\}_r$ where each $\mathcal{H}_r = L^2(r)$ is endowed with the positive definite Hermitian inner product

$$\langle f, g\rangle_r = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f^* g \, r^{-2} \, d\mu_g .$$

In the following, we will denote such indexed PIP-space by $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_r \mathcal{H}_r$, with the center space $\mathcal{H}_0$ being the space of square integrable functions.

The space $C_b(\mathcal{M})$ is a unital C^*-algebra which acts as bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$, with the operator norm corresponding to the supremum norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x\in\mathcal{M}} |f(x)|$. We can wonder what happens if we make a similar modification to the supremum norm, by introducing a continuous weight function r. We denote the algebra of bounded continuous functions by $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 = C_b(\mathcal{M}) = M\left(C_0(\mathcal{M})\right)$. We define the following lattice of spaces:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r = \left\{ f \in C(\mathcal{M}) : \sup_{x\in\mathcal{M}} \left| f(x) \, r^{-1}(x) \right| \; < \; \infty \right\}.$$

Except for the center algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$, each $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r$ is a vector space which has not a structure of algebra. Instead, those spaces have a structure of partial *-algebra, which means that the product $fg \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r$ is well defined only for a bilinear subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r \times \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r$. Actually, we have the filtration property $fg \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r$ if $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_s$ and $g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_t$, with $r = st$. Each $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r$ is endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|_r = \left\|\cdot \, r^{-1}\right\|_0$, where $\|\cdot\|_0$ is the operator norm on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$. In the same way as the lattice of Hilbert spaces, we define the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_r \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r$ which obviously corresponds to the algebra of continuous functions $C(\mathcal{M})$ but endowed with filtration.

It is trivial that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_r \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r$ acts on the PIP-space $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_r \mathcal{H}_r$ as multiplicative operators. Moreover, we have that each $a \in A^M_s$ acts as a bounded operator $a : \mathcal{H}_r \to \mathcal{H}_{rs}$.

So we see that it is possible to consider $C(\mathcal{M})$ as a filtered algebra of bounded operators on some PIP-space, with a set of norms defined on the filtration.

However, we do not need the whole space $C(\mathcal{M})$. Indeed, causal functions are only unbounded "in the direction of the time", which means that we do not really need functions which are growing indefinitely on spacelike surfaces. So we propose the following idea: the set of weight functions can be restricted in order that the filtered algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_r \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_r$ contains some functions which are growing indefinitely only along causal curves. In that way, the chosen set of weight functions will determine a constraint on causality. A typical way is to restrict $C(\mathcal{M})$ to the functions which satisfy a growth condition along causal curves.

From now, we will suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold admitting a spin structure. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is globally hyperbolic, $\mathcal{M}$ admits at least a Cauchy temporal function $\mathcal{T}$ [30, 31, 32], which is a smooth function strictly increasing along each future-directed causal curve, with past-directed timelike gradient everywhere and such that level sets are Cauchy surfaces.

Then we construct the following algebra:

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 = M(C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M}))$ is the unital pre-C^*-algebra of smooth bounded functions on $\mathcal{M}$
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) : \sup_{x\in\mathcal{M}} \left| f(x) \ \left(1+\mathcal{T}(x)^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right| \ < \ \infty \right\}$
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ is the unital filtered algebra of smooth functions on $\mathcal{M}$ of polynomial growth which are bounded on each Cauchy surface $\mathcal{T}^{-1}(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

This filtered algebra has a large number of interesting properties, easily derived from the definition:

- Each set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ is simply generated from $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ by $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ if and only if $f\left(1+\mathcal{T}(x)^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$
- Each set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ is endowed with a norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha = \left\| \cdot \ \left(1+\mathcal{T}(x)^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\|_0$ where $\|\cdot\|_0$ is the norm on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$
- We have the filtration property
$$ab \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \exists\, \beta,\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \ : \alpha = \beta+\gamma \ , \ a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\beta, \ b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma$$
- $\mathcal{T} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and more precisely $\mathcal{T} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-1}$
- All smooth causal functions with polynomial growth are included in the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$
- All a.e. differentiable causal functions with polynomial growth are in the closure algebra $\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha}$, where the closure is taken in each subset with respect to each norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$.

Of course, the polynomial growth is just a particular choice. For example, one can define a similar filtered algebra with causal functions of exponential growth by taking a weight function like $e^{\alpha|\mathcal{T}|}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The choice of the center unitization is also arbitrary since $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ can be replaced by another suitable unitization of $\mathcal{A}_0$ if we want a smaller unitization than the multiplier algebra.

Now we can remember that the pre-C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_0 = C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, as well as its unitization $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 = M(C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M}))$, act as multiplicative bounded operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ of square integrable spinor sections over $\mathcal{M}$. From that, we can construct the indexed PIP-space $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ of spinor sections over $\mathcal{M}$ which are square integrable under the weighted norm, and on which $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ acts as a family of bounded operators.

Such space corresponds to a scale of Hilbert spaces generated by the self-adjoint operator $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1$. Indeed, $\mathcal{T} : \mathrm{Dom}\,(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathcal{H}_0$ is an unbounded self-adjoint operator acting on $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathcal{M}, S)$ with domain $\mathrm{Dom}\,(\mathcal{T}) \subset \mathcal{H}_0$, and $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an unbounded positive self-adjoint operator with similar domain $\mathrm{Dom}\,(\mathcal{T}) = \mathrm{Dom}\left(\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. We can define the following Hilbert spaces:

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \bigcap_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{Dom}\left(\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}\right) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{T}^k) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The conjugate spaces $\mathcal{H}_{\overline{n}} = \mathcal{H}_{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are just the topological duals of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_n$ (properly speaking, there are the identifications of the topological duals under the Hermitian inner product on $\mathcal{H}_0$). Then we have the discrete scale of Hilbert spaces:

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_n \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{H}_{-2} \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}.$$

By interpolation theory, this discrete scale can be extended to a continuous scale $\{\mathcal{H}_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}}$ (but the discrete scale is sufficient). Each $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is endowed with the positive definite Hermitian inner product

$$(\psi, \phi)_\alpha = \left(\psi, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^\alpha \phi\right)_0 = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \psi^* \phi \, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^\alpha \, d\mu_g.$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ acts as a family of bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ with $a : \mathcal{H}_\alpha \to \mathcal{H}_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\beta$ and for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ on $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\beta$ corresponds to the operator norm on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \to \mathcal{H}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and it is independent of the chosen $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. We will give the proof of this property later in the general case (including noncommutative extension).

So in our construction we have three elements $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{T}$ which generate a unital filtered algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha$ acting on an indexed PIP-space $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, where the index α can also be restricted to $\mathbb{Z}$.

The only thing which is missing in order to have a generalized construction of a commutative spectral triple is a Dirac operator D. The Dirac operator

$D = -i(\hat{c} \circ \nabla^S)$ acts as an unbounded operator on $\mathcal{H}_0$ with dense domain, and we can consider its extension to the PIP-space $\mathcal{H}$. The Dirac operator respects the following known property [3]:

$$\forall a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \qquad [D, a] = -i\, c(da).$$

One could think, as it is sometimes wrongly admitted in the literature, that the condition that $[D, a]$ is bounded for each $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is still valid. However, this is not the case for noncompact manifolds since there exist some functions vanishing at infinity whose derivatives are unbounded (as example, we have the functions decreasing at infinity with infinite oscillations of increasing frequency). If we want to conserve this property, we need to restrict the pre-C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ and its unitization $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ to functions respecting the condition of boundedness of the commutator $[D, a]$. Typically, this can be done by restricting $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ to functions of bounded derivatives (as e.g. Schwartz space). Those algebras are not necessarily dense in the previous ones, but their still fit our goal since causal functions with polynomial growth have obviously bounded derivatives.

By the Proposition 7, if there exists a spacelike reflection r on $\mathcal{M}$ such that the Riemannian metric g^r associated is complete, then D is essentially Krein-self-adjoint. This condition is trivial for compact manifolds, but not for noncompact ones. Nevertheless, this is not a so strong condition to work with Lorentzian manifolds which are complete for an associated Riemannian metric, which implies that every Cauchy surface must be complete and that every inextendible geodesic is complete. When it is not the case, the result still holds for a restriction on a complete subspace. Some characterizations of completeness for globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds can be found in [33].

Now we arrive at the last ingredient of our construction. We can suppose that we have a Dirac operator D which is Krein-self-adjoint for a Krein space obtained by a modification of the PIP-space $\mathcal{H}$ under a fundamental symmetry (which is not necessarily unique, but every fundamental symmetry is sufficient to characterize the Krein space). Since $\mathcal{M}$ is globally hyperbolic, $\mathcal{T}$ is a smooth time function with past-directed timelike gradient everywhere whose level sets are smooth Cauchy surfaces. So the Lorentzian metric admits a globally defined orthogonal[2] splitting

$$g = -d\mathcal{T}^2 + g_{\mathcal{T}},$$

where $g_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a Riemannian metric on each level set $S_{\mathcal{T}}$ [30, 31, 32]. This splitting on the metric induces a splitting on the tangent (and the cotangent) bundle $T\mathcal{M} = T\mathcal{M}_- \oplus T\mathcal{M}_+$, where the subbundle $T\mathcal{M}_-$ has a one dimensional fiber generated by the gradient $\nabla\mathcal{T}$. So the temporal function $\mathcal{T}$ defines a spacelike reflection, with the associated Riemannian metric being $g^r = d\mathcal{T}^2 + g_{\mathcal{T}}$. Since $\nabla\mathcal{T}$ is a generating element of $T\mathcal{M}_-$, $d\mathcal{T}$ is a generating element of $T\mathcal{M}_-^*$.

---

[2] More precisely, the splitting $g = -d\mathcal{T}^2 + g_{\mathcal{T}}$ is orthogonal in the general case, and an equivalent orthonormal splitting can be obtained with a conformal transformation on the metric. So the result is valid for the equivalence classes under conformal invariance.

From the Proposition 6, we know that each spacelike reflection generates a fundamental symmetry $\mathcal{J}$ defined from the Clifford action c on a local oriented orthonormal basis $\{e\}$ of $T\mathcal{M}^*_-$ by $\mathcal{J} = ic(e)$. Since $\{d\mathcal{T}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T\mathcal{M}^*_-$, we have that

$$\mathcal{J} = ic(d\mathcal{T}) = i\gamma^0$$

is a fundamental symmetry, and if the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is complete under the metric $g^r = d\mathcal{T}^2 + g_{\mathcal{T}}$, then D is Krein-self-adjoint for the Krein space defined by $\mathcal{J}$, which is equivalent to the fact that $D\mathcal{J}$ (or $\mathcal{J}D$) is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$.

Then, since we have $[D,a] = -i\, c(da)\ \forall a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{J} = -[D,\mathcal{T}] \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \mathcal{J} = [D,\mathcal{T}]$$

is a fundamental symmetry of the Krein space. The condition on the Krein-self-adjointness of D becomes a condition on the self-adjointness of $D[D,\mathcal{T}]$ or equivalently $[D,\mathcal{T}]D$ on the PIP-space $\mathcal{H}$.

The particular construction of this fundamental symmetry forces the Dirac operator to correspond to a metric with Lorentzian signature, since its self-adjointness is recovered by the multiplication by a single Dirac matrix. So we have now enough elements to propose a generalization of the notion of pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple, with a guaranty on the Lorentzian signature. Moreover, by introducing the extension to PIP-spaces, we can create a filtered algebra, with a set of norms defined on it, which contains causal or temporal functions. In particular, the temporal function $\mathcal{T}$ giving the fundamental symmetry is an element of this filtered algebra.

The following definition is a proposition of generalization of this construction to noncommutative algebras. Of course we need to impose some conditions on the commutation between particular elements in order to conserve similar properties, and we will explain our choices in the following. This definition is presented as a working basis, since we do not know at this time which exact conditions must be imposed in order to properly define Lorentzian spectral triples.

Definition 16. A Temporal Lorentzian Spectral Triple $(\mathcal{A}_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \mathcal{H}_0, D, \mathcal{T})$ is the data of:

- A Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$ with positive definite inner product $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)_0$
- A nonunital pre-C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_0$ with a representation as bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$ with operator norm $\|\cdot\|_0$
- A preferred unitization $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ of $\mathcal{A}_0$ with a representation as bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$ with the same norm $\|\cdot\|_0$
- An unbounded self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{T}$ on $\mathcal{H}_0$ with domain $\mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{T}) \subset \mathcal{H}_0$ such that $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ and commutes with all elements in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$

- $\mathcal{T}$ generates a filtered algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ by:

  $$a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_n,$$

  with a norm $\|\cdot\|_n = \left\|(1+\mathcal{T}^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot\right\|_0$ defined on each $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$.

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ has a representation as a family of bounded multiplicative operators on the indexed PIP-space:

  $$\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_n \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{H}_n = \bigcap_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{Dom}(\mathcal{T}^k) \quad \forall n \geq 0$$

  $$\text{and} \;\; \mathcal{H}_{-n} = \mathcal{H}_{\overline{n}} \;\; \text{the conjugate dual of} \;\; \mathcal{H}_n$$

  with $a : \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{H}_{n+m}$ if $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_m$ and with a positive definite inner product $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)_n = (\,\cdot\,, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^n \cdot)_0$ defined on each $\mathcal{H}_n$.

- An unbounded operator D densely defined on $\mathcal{H}$ such that:
  - all commutators $[D,a]$ are bounded for every $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$
  - $[D, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ commutes with all elements in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$
  - $D[D,\mathcal{T}]$ is a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$
  - $\mathcal{J} = [D,\mathcal{T}]$ defines a Krein space structure for $\mathcal{H}$, with $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J}^2 = 1$

We have a definition for the summability:

Definition 17. A temporal Lorentzian spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \mathcal{H}_0, D, \mathcal{T})$ is n^+-summable if there exists a positive integer n such that $a\,\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}^{-n} \in \mathcal{L}^{1+}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$, where

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{T}} = \left(1+[D]_{\mathcal{T}}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with

$$[D]_{\mathcal{T}}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(D[D,\mathcal{T}]D[D,\mathcal{T}] + [D,\mathcal{T}]D[D,\mathcal{T}]D\right).$$

Let us add some comments et precisions about the definition of temporal Lorentzian spectral triples.

The element $\mathcal{T} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the *temporal element* of the temporal Lorentzian spectral triple. It represents a notion of global time for the spectral triple. Of course this time is global and not local, and so it is not sufficient to determine the causality by itself. We can notice that the whole definition is invariant under the modification $\mathcal{T} \to -\mathcal{T}$ which simply corresponds to a choice of time orientation. The choice of a particular temporal element is equivalent to the choice of a particular "3+1" decomposition (or in the general case a particular "(n-1)+1" decomposition) of a globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold.

The condition that $\mathcal{J} = [D,\mathcal{T}]$ determines a Krein space, with D being a Krein-self-adjoint operator for this Krein space, is extremely important. Indeed, this is the only indication that the spectral triple corresponds to a Lorentzian geometry, with signature $(n-1,1)$. If we remove this condition, we simply have a pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple with an extension of the algebra to a larger class of functions. This condition can also be written as $\mathcal{J} = -[D,\mathcal{T}]$, $\mathcal{J} = i[D,\mathcal{T}]$ or $\mathcal{J} = -i[D,\mathcal{T}]$ (with for the last two cases the condition that $iD[D,\mathcal{T}]$ is a self-adjoint operator). As we have already said, the addition of a minus sign corresponds to a change of time orientation, which is similar to a change of sign in the Krein inner product. The addition of a i factor corresponds to a change in the Hermicity conditions of the Clifford representation, so is similar to a switch from a signature $(-,+,+,+,\dots)$ to a signature $(+,-,-,-,\dots)$.

To the five elements $\mathcal{A}_0$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$, $\mathcal{H}_0$, D and $\mathcal{T}$, a sixth one is still missing if we want to create a spectral triple with a notion of causality. The additional element should be a cone defined among the Hermitian elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and representing a set of causal, time or temporal functions which should be sufficient to determine the causality. We can expect that such cone would have a definition in a similar form than in [22].

One could add to the definition of temporal Lorentzian spectral triples a real condition and an even condition, with the introduction of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading γ and an antilinear isometry J respecting suitable commutative conditions with the other elements. Conditions could also be added concerning the smoothness of the Dirac operator (as in [14]), which we have not included here. Moreover, further conditions must certainly be added in order to guarantee a unique correspondence between commutative temporal Lorentzian spectral triples and (equivalence classes of) complete globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifolds. In particular, several temporal elements should correspond to the same Lorentzian manifold, but with a different decomposition.

The filtration property

$$a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_m,\ b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_n \quad\Longrightarrow\quad ab \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m+n} \ \text{ and }\ ba \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m+n}$$

is still valid in the noncommutative case, since we have that $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ commutes with all elements in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is generated by multiple applications of $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ or $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{-1}{2}}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$, and if $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ we have already the condition $[\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, a] = 0$, which implies $[\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, a] = 0$ by multiplication on both sides by $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We can note that the index can be extended to a continuous scale $\left\{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_\alpha\right\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}}$, $\{\mathcal{H}_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}}$ by using interpolation theory with the operator $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$.

Among the subspaces of partial algebras $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$, only $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ is a pre-Banach algebra. Indeed, for $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_m$ and $b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$, $ab \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m+n}$ respects the relation $\|ab\|_{m+n} \le \|a\|_m \|b\|_n$. In the same way, the C^*-algebra condition looks like $\|a^*a\|_{2n} = \|a\|_n^2$.

The norm $\|\cdot\|_n = \left\|(1+T^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}\,\cdot\right\|_0$ for $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ is the operator norm. Indeed, if we consider $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_m$ as an operator $a : \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{H}_{n+m}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a\|_{\mathrm{op}} &= \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n,\, \phi \neq 0} \frac{(a\,\phi, a\,\phi)_{n+m}}{(\phi,\phi)_n} = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n,\, \phi \neq 0} \frac{(a\,\phi \ , \ \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{n+m} a\,\phi)_0}{(\phi \ , \ \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{n} \phi)_0} \\
&= \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n,\, \phi \neq 0} \frac{\left((1+\mathcal{T}^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} a \, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \phi \ , \ \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} a \, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \phi\right)_0}{\Big((1+\mathcal{T}^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \, \phi \ , \ (1+\mathcal{T}^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \, \phi\Big)_0} \\
&= \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0,\, \psi \neq 0} \frac{\left((1+\mathcal{T}^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} a\,\psi \ , \ \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} a\,\psi\right)_0}{(\psi \ , \ \psi)_0} \\
&= \left\| \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} a \right\|_0 = \|a\|_m
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the facts that $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and a commute with each other and that $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is self-adjoint. The result is clearly independent of the chosen $\mathcal{H}_n$.

The condition that $[D, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ commutes with all elements in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is equivalent to the fact that, for all $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, $[D,a]$ commutes with $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Indeed, by using the fact that $\left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ commutes with a:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [D, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}]\; a - a\, [D, \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \\
= \quad & D \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a - \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Da \\
& \qquad -aD \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} D \\
= \quad & Da \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Da \\
& \qquad -aD \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} aD \\
= \quad & [D,a] \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(1+\mathcal{T}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} [D,a].
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by a reasoning similar to above, the operator norm of $[D,a]$ is independent of the chosen $\mathcal{H}_n$.

4. The degenerate Moyal Minkowski spacetime

Commutative temporal Lorentzian spectral triples can easily be constructed by using the construction presented in the previous section from any suitable globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold. One can wonder however if noncommutative examples can explicitly be constructed. In this last section we answer the problem by showing that a Minkowski spacetime endowed with a degenerate Moyal product is a noncomutative temporal Lorentzian spectral triple.

The construction of spectral triples based on Euclidean Moyal planes has already been studied extensively in [7]. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is chosen as the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of Schwartz functions, which are smooth functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ rapidly decreasing at infinity together with all derivatives. The product on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by the Moyal product:

$$(f \star h)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int\!\!\int f(x - \frac{1}{2}\Theta u)\ h(x+v)\ e^{-iu\cdot v}\ d^n u\ d^n v$$

where Θ is a real skewsymmetric $n \times n$ constant matrix. This product can be extended to the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [7, 34] and there is a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on the space of square integrable spinors $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}$ as a multiple of the left regular action

$$\pi(f)\psi = (\mathcal{L}(f) \otimes 1)\psi = f \star \psi.$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is a nonunital involutive Fréchet algebra, and if equipped with the operator norm

$$\|a\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{b \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), b \neq 0} \frac{\|a \star b\|_2}{\|b\|_2},$$

$\mathcal{A}$ becomes a nonunital pre-C^*-algebra. The pre-C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \star\}$, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}$ and the Dirac operator $D = -i\partial_\mu \otimes \gamma^\mu$ define a Euclidean noncompact spectral triple of spectral dimension n.

We construct the Moyal Minkowski spacetime in a similar way. We work with the space $\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}$, and the Moyal $\star$ product is defined on the space of Schwartz functions $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1})$ and extended to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1})$. We define the nonunital pre-C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_0 = (\mathcal{S}, \star)$ acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}$. We must choose a suitable unitization $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ for the temporal Lorentzian spectral triple. A first choice could be the Moyal multiplier algebra:

$$M(\mathcal{A}) = \{T \in \mathcal{S}' : T \star h \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } h \star T \in \mathcal{S} \ \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

where $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1})$ is the dual space of tempered distributions. Coordinates functions $x^0, x^1, \ldots x^{n-1}$ belong to this space, and respect the following commutation relations with the star product:

$$[x^\mu, x^\nu] = x^\mu \star x^\nu - x^\nu \star x^\mu = i\,\Theta^{\mu\nu} 1.$$

However, this unitization cannot be chosen since some elements in $M(\mathcal{A})$ are unbounded. Instead we choose the sub-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{B}, \star) \subset M(\mathcal{A})$ of smooth functions which are bounded together with all derivatives. $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{B}, \star)$ is a unital Fréchet pre-C^*-algebra [7] that contains $\mathcal{A}$ as an ideal. To complete the temporal Lorentzian spectral triple, we choose the temporal element $\mathcal{T} = x^0$ as the first coordinate function and the usual Dirac operator $D = -i\partial_\mu \otimes \gamma^\mu$.

Now we want to check that the triple

$$(\mathcal{A}_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, \mathcal{H}_0, D, \mathcal{T}) =$$

$$\Big(\big(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}), \star\big), \big(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}), \star\big), L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}, -i\partial_\mu \otimes \gamma^\mu, x^0 \Big)$$

respects the chosen axioms for a temporal Lorentzian spectral triple.

- $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}$ is a Hilbert space with positive definite inner product defined by the usual inner product on spinors $(\psi, \phi)_0 = \int \psi^* \phi \, d\mu_g$.
- $\mathcal{A}_0 = \big(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}), \star\big)$ is a nonunital pre-C^*-algebra with a representation $\pi(f) = \mathcal{L}(f) \otimes 1$ as bounded multiplicative operators on $\mathcal{H}_0$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_0 = \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 = \big(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}), \star\big)$ is a preferred unitization of $\mathcal{A}_0$ with the same representation π on $\mathcal{H}_0$ and with the same operator norm $\|\cdot\|_0$.
- $\mathcal{T} = x^0$ acts as an unbounded self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}_0$ with dense domain. $\big(1 + \mathcal{T}^2\big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(x^0)^2}}$ is bounded on all $\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}$ with bounded derivatives, so belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$.

  The last property is more annoying, since we want that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(x^0)^2}}$ (or equivalently $\sqrt{1+(x^0)^2}$) commutes with all elements in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ in order to properly generate the filtration. This is not true in the general case! Indeed, if the Θ matrix is nondegenetate (we can notice that this can only append for an even dimension), then the center of the algebra is trivial and consists on the set of constant functions $Z(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0) = \mathbb{C}$. So if the Moyal product is nondegenetate, there is no way to find a nonconstant element belonging to the center of the algebra.

  In order to find such commuting element, we need to impose some constraints on the degeneration of the Θ matrix:

  $$\Theta^{0\mu} = \Theta^{\mu 0} = 0 \qquad \text{for all } \ \mu = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

  In this case, the element $\mathcal{T} = x^0$ commutes with every other coordinates, so commutes with every element in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$, and by expansion its smooth inverse $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(x^0)^2}}$ also commutes. The noncommutativity relations $[x^\mu, x^\nu] = i\,\Theta^{\mu\nu} 1$ can still exist for $\mu, \nu > 0$, so the space remains noncommutative, event if at least one coordinate must commute with the others. Then the filtered algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_n$ and the indexed PIP-space $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_n$ can be generated from the unbounded commutative self-adjoint operator $\sqrt{1+(x^0)^2}$.
- $D = -i\partial_\mu \otimes \gamma^\mu$ is clearly an unbounded operator densely defined on $\mathcal{H}$. The property that $[D, a]$ acts as a multiplicative operator $D(a) = -ic(da)$ is still valid in the nonunital case [7], so $[D, a]$ is bounded for every $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ [3]. The property that $[D, \sqrt{1+(x^0)^2}]$ commutes with all elements in

---

[3] For the Moyal plane, since Schwartz functions have derivatives decreasing more rapidly than polynomials, by using the Leibniz rule we can extend the property of boundedness of $[D, a]$ to every function of the form $a = \big(1 + (x^0)^2\big)^{\frac{n}{2}} a_0$ for any n and $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. However there is no guarantee for elements of the filtered algebra generated from $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ instead of $\mathcal{A}_0$.

$a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ follows from the degeneration of the Moyal product. Indeed, it is equivalent to the fact that $[D,a]$ commutes with $\sqrt{1+(x^0)^2}$ which is true since $[D,a]$ acts as a simple multiplicative operator and $\sqrt{1+(x^0)^2}$ only depends on the commutative coordinate x^0.

From $\mathcal{J} = [D,x^0] = -ic(dx^0) = -i\gamma^0$, the Krein space conditions can easily be checked:

$$\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{J} \iff (\gamma^0)^* = -\gamma^0$$

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = 1 \iff (\gamma^0)^2 = -1$$

and the Krein-self-adjointness of the Dirac operator by:

$$\mathcal{J}D\mathcal{J} = D^* \iff \gamma^0\gamma^\mu\gamma^0 = (\gamma^\mu)^* \quad \text{for } \mu = 0,1,2,3$$

$$\iff (\gamma^0)^2\,\gamma^0 = (\gamma^0)^* \quad \text{and } -(\gamma^0)^2\,\gamma^\mu = (\gamma^\mu)^* \quad \text{for } \mu = 1,2,3.$$

So there is a way to defined an example of a noncommutative Lorentzian space with a structure of temporal Lorentzian spectral triple. We saw that our axioms imposes that the temporal element representing a global time needs to be commutative. This can lead to an interesting questioning on the possibility and the physical consistency of having a noncommutative time instead of a commutative one. However, there is no real need of a noncommutative time. Indeed, if we look to Connes' noncommutative standard model coupled to gravity, noncommutativity is only present on one side of the spectral triple corresponding to gauge theories. The continuous part, representing space and time, remains commutative. So our approach is consistent with this model.

5. Outlook

We defined a set of axioms for temporal Lorentzian spectral triples which represent a noncommutative generalization of globally hyperbolic Lorentzian spaces with a chosen "3+1" decomposition. Those axioms are subject to further development. In particular, the question of the smoothness of the Dirac operator (or more precisely of its elliptic modification $\Delta_{\mathcal{J}}$) could be taken into account. It could also be interesting to wonder which temporal elements $\mathcal{T}$ generate a similar Lorentzian manifold, and in this case to define a set of axioms for Lorentzian spectral triples which should be independent of the choice of a temporal element.

Temporal Lorentzian spectral triples give a good background to develop a translation of the notion of causality in noncommutative geometry since its algebra contains causal functions. This construction is actually a first step in order to combine the causal approach from V. Moretti, F. Besnard and N. Franco [22, 24, 25] to the Krein space approach from A. Strohmaier and M. Paschke [14, 16].

We can notice that the temporal element $\mathcal{T}$ translates a part of the information about causality. Indeed, if we take two points p and q on the manifold, then $p \prec q$ only if $\mathcal{T}p \leq \mathcal{T}q$, which can be generalized to the space of states by $\xi \prec \eta$

only if $\xi(\mathcal{T}) \leq \eta(\mathcal{T})$ for $\xi, \eta \in \Delta(\mathcal{A}_0)$. However, the condition is not sufficient and works still need to be done in order to have a complete characterization of causality in noncommutative geometry.

References

[1] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego (1994).

[2] A. Connes and M. Marcolli, *Noncommutative Geometry, Quantum Fields and Motives*, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications Vol. 55, Providence (2008).

[3] J. M. Gracia-Bondía, J. C. Várilly and H. Figueroa, *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2001).

[4] A. Connes, *Gravity coupled with matter and the foundations of noncommutative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **182** (1996), 155–176, hep-th/9603053.

[5] A. Connes, *On the spectral characterization of manifolds*, preprint (2008), math.OA/0810.2088.

[6] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes and M. Marcolli, *Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 11 (2007), 991–108, hep-th/0610241.

[7] V. Gayral, J. M. Gracia-Bondía, B. Iochum, T. Schücker and J. C. Varilly, *Moyal Planes are Spectral Triples*, Comm. Math. Phys. **246** (2004), 569–623, hep-th/0307241.

[8] A. Rennie, *Smoothness and Locality for Nonunital Spectral Triples*, K-Theory **28** (2003), 127–165.

[9] E. Hawkins, *Hamiltonian Gravity and Noncommutative Geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **187** (1997), 471–489, gr-qc/9605068.

[10] T. Kopf, *Spectral Geometry and Causality*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13** (1998), 2693–2708, gr-qc/9609050.

[11] T. Kopf, *Spectral geometry of spacetime*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **14** (2000), 2359–2366, hep-th/0005260.

[12] T. Kopf and M. Paschke, *Spectral quadruple*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **16** 4-6 (2001), 291–298, math-ph/0105006.

[13] T. Kopf and M. Paschke, *A spectral quadruple for de Sitter space*, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002), 818–846, math-ph/0012012.

[14] A. Strohmaier, *On noncommutative and pseudo-Riemannian geometry*, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), 175–195, math-ph/0110001.

[15] W. D. van Suijlekom, *The noncommutative Lorentzian cylinder as an isospectral deformation*, J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004), 537–556, math-ph/0310009.

[16] M. Paschke and A. Sitarz, *Equivariant Lorentzian spectral triples*, math-ph/0611029.

[17] H. Baum, *Spin-Strukturen und Dirac-Operatoren über pseudoriemannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Teubner-Text zur Mathematik **41**, Teubner (1981).

[18] H. Baum, *A remark on the spectrum of the Dirac operator on a pseudo-Riemannian spin manifolds*, SFB288-preprint **136** (1994).

[19] J. Bognar, *Indefinite Inner Product Spaces*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin (1974).

[20] G. Galloway, *Closed timelike geodesics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), 379–384.

[21] F. J. Tipler, *Existence of a closed timelike geodesic in Lorentz spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1979), 145–147.

[22] F. Besnard, *A noncommutative view on topology and order*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** 7 (2009), 861–875, math/0804.3551.

[23] G. N. Parfionov and R. R. Zapatrin, *Connes duality in Lorentzian geometry*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 7122–7128, gr-qc/9803090.

[24] V. Moretti, *Aspects of noncommutative Lorentzian geometry for globally hyperbolic spacetimes*, Rev. Math. Phys. **15** (2003), 1171–1217, gr-qc/0203095.

[25] N. Franco, *Global Eikonal Condition for Lorentzian Distance Function in Noncommutative Geometry*, SIGMA **6** (2010), 064, arXiv:1003.5651.

[26] N. Franco, *Lorentzian approach to noncommutative geometry*, PH.D. thesis, Presses Universitaires de Namur (2011), arXiv:1108.0592.

[27] S. Helgason, *Multipliers of Banach algebras*, Ann. of Math. **64** (1956), 240–254.

[28] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K-theory and C^*-algebras: a friendly approach*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1993).

[29] J.-P. Antoine and C. Trapani, *Partial Inner Product Spaces: Theory and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1986**, Springer–Verlag, Berlin (2010).

[30] A.N. Bernal and M. Sánchez, *On smooth Cauchy hypersurfaces and Geroch's splitting theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **243** (2003), 461–470, gr-qc/0306108.

[31] A.N. Bernal and M. Sánchez, *Smoothness of time functions and the metric splitting of globally hyperbolic spacetimes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **257** (2005), 43–50, gr-qc/0401112.

[32] A.N. Bernal and M. Sánchez, *Further results on the smoothability of Cauchy hypersurfaces and Cauchy time functions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **77** (2006), 183–197, gr-qc/0512095.

[33] J. K. Beem, P. E. Eherlich and K. L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian geometry*, 2nd ed., in Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **202**, Marcel Dekker, New York (1996).

[34] J. C. Várilly and J. M. Gracia-Bondía, *Algebras of distributions suitable for phase-space quantum mechanics II: Topologies on the Moyal algebra*, J. Math. Phys. **29** (1988), 880– 887.